Pseudo-Goldstone excitations in chiral Yukawa-theories with quadratic explicit symmetry breaking

A. Jakovác,[1] I. Kaposvári,[1] and A. Patkós[2]

[1] *Institute of Physics, Eötvös University, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary*
[2] *MTA-ELTE Research Group for Biological and Statistical Physics H-1117, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, Budapest, Hungary*

(Dated: March 3, 2017)

The symmetry breakdown pattern is studied in models containing one fermion flavor multiplet and a multicomponent scalar field, supplemented with a chiral Yukawa-interaction, and in presence of an explicit symmetry breaking source quadratic in the scalar field. In a detailed investigation of the model with $U_L(1) \times U_R(1)$ chiral symmetry it is shown that by diminishing the strength of quadratic explicit symmetry breaking one can still keep stable the mass ratio of the fermionic and the pseudo-Goldstone excitation. At the same time the mass ratio of the two bosonic excitations appears to approach a limiting value depending only on the infrared value of the first ratio, but not on the microscopic (ultraviolet) coupling values. The observations receive a general interpretation by the existence of an ultraviolet fixed line located in the symmetric phase. Understanding the general conditions for its existence allows the construction of a similar theory with $U_L(2) \times U_R(2)$ chiral symmetry. All results of the present investigation were obtained with non-perturbative Functional Renormalisation Group technique.

arXiv:1703.00831v1 [hep-ph] 2 Mar 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous symmetry breaking is a central feature of elementary particle physics. The breakdown of the $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$ chiral symmetry of strong interactions results in the existence of light pseudo-Goldstone pions [1]. Their non-zero mass is usually attributed to the presence of an explicit symmetry breaking term in the Lagrangian of the effective meson theory, linear in the meson-fields. In case of electroweak interactions the would-be-Goldstone degrees of freedom transmutate into the longitudinal polarisation modes of the massive vector fields without any need for explicit symmetry breaking. In this case, however, the lightness of the Higgs particle awaits some dynamical explanation, in particular, since its tree level value would be seriously altered by the quadratically divergent ultraviolet field fluctuations. By the example of the strong interactions a popular idea is to assume that the Higgs itself is a pseudo-Goldstone excitation of some symmetry hiding the secret of the electroweak symmetry breaking [2].

In the present paper we shall investigate the effect of quantum fluctuations on the particle spectra when the explicit symmetry breaking is quadratic in the meson fields, instead of the conventional linear external field. The strength of this quadratic breaking itself is scale-dependent and we will be particularly interested in investigating its effect on the renormalisation evolution when its starting (microscopic) value is chosen many orders of magnitude smaller than the value of the parameters preserving the symmetry of the respective models.

A model containing a real scalar (the "Higgs") and a single fermion flavor (the "top") represents a minimal framework, which allows to study the influence of quantum fluctuations on the Higgs potential [3–11]. Though it possesses only a disrete remnant of the chiral symmetry, still an acceptable order of magnitude estimate could have been obtained for the maximal momentum value up to which the system is stable and its characteristic data (the vacuum expectation of the scalar field, the mass of the top and of the Higgs) can be fixed to their experimental values. In the present study we make use of the simplest extension of this toy model allowing to define the Higgs as the pseudo-Goldstone boson in the broken phase of the global continuous symmetry characterising the extension.

The simplest extension is to replace the real scalar by a complex one and couple it to the single fermion flavor with a chiral Yukawa-interaction. This model has a $U_L(1) \times U_R(1)$ global chiral symmetry and the symmetry breaking pattern $U_L(1) \times U_R(1) \to U_V(1)$ will be studied in presence of an explicit symmetry breaking term in the effective action. This term, however, will be quadratic in the complex scalar field [12, 13], in contrast to the commonly assumed linear dependence.

Model systems with an Abelian continuous symmetry have received constant interest in the past. The symmetric part of the Lagrangian has the same symmetry as the chiral one-flavor NJL model, which served as the most transparent illustration of the symmetry breaking mechanism proposed in the classic publication of Nambu and Jona-Lasinio [1]. It has been exploited as the simplest example of dynamical fermion mass generation in discussions of chiral symmetry breaking in hadrons and nuclei

at finite temperature and baryonic density [14]. Its bosonisation with help of a Hubbard-Stratonovich (HS) transformation [15, 16] leads to a Yukawa-type model rather similar to the one investigated in this paper. The complex scalar field is of auxiliary nature, it represents a bound fermion–anti-fermion state. It has no proper dynamics at the scale, where the HS-transformation is applied, though field fluctuations generate in the infrared a kinetic term and also higher powers of the field in its potential energy.

It can be noted that the compositeness scale defined in [17] through the vanishing of the wave function renormalisation constant of the scalar field could be included also into the present discussion by applying existing Renormalisation Group techniques [18, 19]. The assumed large amplitude evolution of the field renormalisation (the anomalous dimension) of the scalar field due to the strong Yukawa-interaction between the scalar and the fermion field was the idea behind the suggestion of the composite ($t - \bar{t}$ bound state) nature of the Higgs field. Top-quark condensation was one of the first suggestions [17, 20, 21] for the mechanism of the electroweak symmetry breaking.

Another potential physical application of the $U(1)$-symmetric Yukawa model is the Frogatt-Nielsen mechanism explaining the hierarchy of lepton masses [22]. The starting assumption is that different lepton flavors have different charges under a hypothetical $U(1)$ symmetry, therefore couple to different powers of the bosonic flavon field in an effective low-energy model. The mass ratios can be satisfactorily reproduced by choosing appropriate powers.

The aim of the present study is to take into account the dynamical effect of quantum fluctuations on all couplings with help of Renomalisation Group Equations (RGE) [23–25]. The equations will be solved in the Local Potential Approximation (LPA) and the scalar potential is truncated at quartic power. The (in)sensitivity of the results to these restrictions will be discussed in the concluding chapter.

The initial (ultraviolet) strength of the explicit symmetry breaking relative to the symmetric mass term of the scalar field will be varied. This variation is hardly noticeable on the location of the critical surface separating the symmetric and broken symmetry phases of the model. At the surface Ising-type phase transition occurs corresponding to a $Z(2)$-like symmetry left by the quadratic explicit symmetry breaking term. In the symmetry broken phase the infrared spectrum will be fixed to the known spectra of the top-Higgs sector in such a way that the pseudo-Goldstone boson of the broken $U_A(1)$ symmetry is associated with the Higgs particle. The ultraviolet strength of the explicit symmetry breaking is systematically diminished keeping the fermion–to–pseudo-Goldstone mass ratio fixed. One finds that the heavy-boson–to–pseudo-Goldstone mass ratio is governed by the quartic self-coupling and it approaches a unique value in the infrared irrespective of its starting ultraviolet value. The limiting value is determined by the occurrence of a line of ultraviolet fixed points in the coupling space. The fully massive fermion-boson spectrum emerging in the symmetric limiting case apparently does not follow Goldstone's theorem. There is obvious interest in investigating this new class of theories possessing an interacting UV fixed line for the ultraviolet completion of quantum field theories [26]. A rather general perturbative analysis of the conditions for the existence of a UV fixed point was given recently in Ref. [27]. Existence of an interacting UV-fixed point in Yukawa-coupled system of Goldstone bosons and chiral fermions was discussed perturbatively in Ref. [28]. Non-perturbative exploration has been achieved for a number of field theoretical models, including Yukawa-models of chiral fermions and bosons with help of functional renormalisation group equations [29–33]. It should be emphasized that the quadratic explicit symmetry breaking plays decisive role for the exisatence of UV fixed points in these systems.

One notes that the solving this model with dynamically stabilized quadratic explicit symmetry breaking parameters has been discussed in the symmetric phase with help of Dyson-Schwinger equations with all symmetrical couplings held fixed [12, 13].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the effective quantum action investigated in this paper is introduced and the RGE's of its couplings are presented both in the symmetric and the broken symmetry phase based on the general Wetterich-equation, supplemented with a linear infrared regularisation [34]. In section 3 the phase structure is mapped out for different initial strengths of the explicit quadratic symmetry breaking term. It is shown that a critical Yukawa coupling value separates the phases of broken and unbroken $U_A(1)$ symmetry for each initial set of the couplings characterising the potential energy of the scalar field. This value is determined first from solving the RGE's with scale independent Yukawa coupling. Next it is argued that both the effect of the Yukawa-running and of the initial strength of the explicit symmetry breaking on the location of the critical surface is negligible. In section 4 the curves of constant pseudo-Goldstone–to–fermion mass ratios are found in the coupling space and the accessible range of the heavy-boson–to–pseudo-Goldstone mass ratio is determined. The analysis of the mass spectra is systematically repeated upon diminishing the relative strength of the quadratic explicit symmetry breaking. The infrared value of the heavy–to–pseudo-Goldstone mass ratio appears to approach a unique limiting value. The emergence of this limiting solution is interpreted in section 5 with help of a

line of fixed points arising in the symmetric phase of the theory. A summary of our results accompanied with an outline of its possible extension to more general field theoretical models is given in section 6.

II. THE MODEL AND ITS RGE'S

The symmetric part of the action is the following:

$$\Gamma_{SYM} = \int d^dx \left[\bar{\psi}\partial\!\!\!/\psi + \partial_m\Phi^*\partial_m\Phi + U(\Phi^*\Phi) + h(\bar{\psi}_R\psi_L\Phi^* + \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R\Phi)\right],$$
$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Phi_1(x) + i\Phi_2(x)), \qquad \psi_{R/L} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)\psi. \tag{1}$$

For the perturbatively renormalisable part of the potential one writes in the symmetric phase

$$U^{(SYM)} = M^2\Phi^*\Phi + \frac{\lambda}{6}(\Phi^*\Phi)^2, \tag{2}$$

while in presence of a condensate the parametrisation

$$U^{(SSB)} = \frac{\lambda}{6}\left(\Phi^*\Phi - \frac{v^2}{2}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

is more convenient. The action (1) has two global $U(1)$-symmetries. The first corresponds to the fermion number conservation:

$$\psi \to e^{i\alpha}\psi, \qquad \Phi \to \Phi, \tag{4}$$

the second is generated by axial $U(1)$ transformations:

$$\psi \to e^{i\gamma_5\Theta}\psi, \qquad \bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi}e^{i\gamma_5\Theta}, \qquad \Phi \to e^{-2i\Theta}\Phi, \tag{5}$$

which is written for the fermions of definite chiral projection as

$$\psi_L \to e^{-i\Theta}\psi_L, \qquad \psi_R \to e^{i\Theta}\psi_R. \tag{6}$$

This last symmetry does not allow the presence of a chirality changing mass-term for the fermions and also requires vanishing of $\Gamma^{(2)}_{\Phi\Phi} = \delta^2\Gamma/\delta\Phi^2$ and $\Gamma^{(2)}_{\Phi^*\Phi^*} = \delta^2\Gamma/\delta\Phi^{*2}$ in the effective action. Dynamical emergence of the corresponding terms in the course of the RG-evolution corresponds to dynamical breaking of the axial $U_A(1)$ symmetry (DSB):

$$\Gamma_{DSB} = \int_p [\Pi\Phi(-p)\Phi(p) + \Pi^*\Phi^*(-p)\Phi^*(p)] + \int_p [\Sigma_{LR}\bar{\psi}_L(-p)\psi_R(p) + \Sigma_{RL}\bar{\psi}_R(-p)\psi_L(p)]. \tag{7}$$

In the present investigation we set $\Sigma_{RL} = \Sigma_{LR} = 0$, which means that the fermion mass is fully due to the spontaneous breaking of the $U_A(1)$ symmetry. Then there is a $Z(2)$-like remaining discrete symmetry of the system:

$$\Phi \to -\Phi, \qquad \psi_L \to i\psi_L, \qquad \psi_R \to -i\psi_R. \tag{8}$$

A. RGE's of the system without scalar condensate

The Wetterich-equation for a fermion-boson system can be partitioned in the following form [35, 36]:

$$\partial_t\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\partial}_t \mathrm{STr}\log(\Gamma^{(2)} + R_k) = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{\partial}_t \mathrm{Tr}\log(\Gamma^{(2)}_F + R^F_k) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\partial}_t \mathrm{Tr}\log(\Gamma^{(2)}_B + R^B_k)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\hat{\partial}_t \mathrm{Tr}\log\left[1 - (\Gamma^{(2)}_B + R^B_k)^{-1}\Gamma^{(2)}_{BF}(\Gamma^{(2)}_F + R^F_k)^{-1}\Gamma^{(2)}_{FB}\right]. \tag{9}$$

Here $\Gamma^{(2)}$ denotes the full second functional derivative of the effective action, while $\Gamma_B^{(2)}$ and $\Gamma_F^{(2)}$ refer to its purely bosonic and purely fermionic sector, respectively. $\Gamma_{FB}^{(2)}$ and $\Gamma_{BF}^{(2)}$ each contains one fermionic and one bosonic functional derivation. The infrared regulators $R_k^{B/F}$ restrict the functional trace to field components with momenta larger than the actual scale k. The derivation $\hat{\partial}_t$ acts only on the scale dependence of the infrared regulators $R_k^{B/F}$. The bosonic part of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ can be written with U given in (2) in the following matrix form when one uses the row-vector (Φ^*, Φ) and its adjungated column-vector as independent variables:

$$\Gamma_B^{(2)}(q,q') = \frac{\delta(q+q')}{(2\pi)^d} \begin{pmatrix} q_R^2 + M^2 & 2\Pi^* \\ 2\Pi & q_R^2 + M^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where $q_R^2 = q^2 + R^B(q)$. It gives the propagator

$$G_B(q,q') = \frac{(2\pi)^d \delta(q+q')}{\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)} \begin{pmatrix} q_R^2 + M^2 & -2\Pi \\ -2\Pi^* & q_R^2 + M^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

The zeros of the determinant of $\Gamma_B^{(2)}$ determine the bosonic spectra at the actual scale:

$$\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2) = (q_R^2 + M^2)^2 - 4|\Pi|^2, \qquad m_{hb}^2 = M^2 - 2|\Pi|, \qquad m_G^2 = M^2 + 2|\Pi|. \tag{12}$$

The meaning of the indices "hb" and "G" will be clear in the spontaneously broken phase. In the symmetric phase the fermion has a massless propagator and no mass term is generated in this phase by the quantum fluctuations either.

In the symmetric phase the RGE's of the parameters characterising the quartic potential and the Yukawa interaction are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t M^2 &= -2h^2 \int_q \hat{\partial}_t \frac{1}{q_R^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{3} \int_q \hat{\partial}_t \frac{q_R^2 + M^2}{\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)}, \\ \partial_t \lambda &= 6h^4 \int_q \hat{\partial}_t \frac{1}{q_R^4} - \frac{\lambda^2}{3} \int_q \hat{\partial}_t \frac{5(q_R^2 + M^2)^2 + 16|\Pi|^2}{\mathrm{DET}_B^2(q_R^2)}, \\ \partial_t h &= h^3 \Pi \int_q \hat{\partial}_t \frac{1}{q_R^2 \mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The evolution of the explicit quadratic symmetry breaking parameter is driven by itself:

$$\partial_t \Pi = -\frac{\lambda \Pi}{3} \int_q \hat{\partial}_t \frac{1}{\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)}. \tag{14}$$

The condition for the existence of the symmetric phase with vanishing scalar background $\Phi_b = \Phi_b^* = u/\sqrt{2}$ can be read off from the one-point equation:

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi}\Big|_{\Phi_b} = U' \Phi_b^* + 2\Pi \Phi_b = \left(M^2 + 2\Pi + \frac{\lambda}{6} u^2 \right) \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}} = 0. \tag{15}$$

The phase transition to the broken symmetry phase occurs where $M^2 + 2\Pi$ vanishes. For this reason it is convenient to choose $\Pi < 0$. At the phase transition one has to change the parametrisation of the potential to (3).

The $\hat{\partial}_t$ operation has to be applied for the following two general integrals, defined with the choice of the linear infrared regulator [34]:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{(q_R^2 + m_\psi^2)^n} &= -2n v_d k^{d+2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + m_\psi^2)^{n+1}}, \\ \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{((q_R^2 + M^2)^2 - 4\Pi^2)^n} &= -4n v_d k^{d+2} \frac{k^2 + M^2}{(k^2 + M^2)^2 - 4\Pi^2)^{n+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $v_d = S_d/(d(2\pi)^d)$ with S_d denoting the surface of the d-dimensional unit sphere. Then introducing the rescaled variables

$$\Pi_r = \frac{\Pi}{k^2}, \qquad h_r^2 = h^2 k^{d-4}, \qquad M_r^2 = \frac{M^2}{k^2}, \qquad \lambda_r = \lambda k^{d-4},$$
$$u_r = u k^{(2-d)/2}, \qquad v_r = v k^{(2-d)/2}, \tag{17}$$

one finds the explicit expressions for the RGE's in terms of dimensionless quantities. This form of the equations for the symmetric phase does give important further insight for the ultraviolet RG-structure of the theory, therefore we quote them for later discussion:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \Pi_r + 2\Pi_r = \frac{4\lambda_r \Pi_r v_d}{3} \frac{1+M_r^2}{((1+M_r^2)^2 - 4\Pi_r^2)^2}, \\
&\partial_t M_r^2 + 2M_r^2 = 4h_r^2 v_d - \frac{4\lambda_r v_d}{3} \frac{(1+M_r^2)^2 + 4\Pi_r^2}{((1+M_r^2)^2 - 4\Pi_r^2)^2}, \\
&\partial_t \lambda_r + (4-d)\lambda_r = -24 h_r^4 v_d + \frac{4\lambda_r^2 v_d (1+M_r^2)}{3((1+M_r^2)^2 - 4\Pi_r^2)^3} \left(5(1+M_r^2)^2 + 52\Pi_r^2\right), \\
&\partial_t h_r + \frac{1}{2}(4-d)h_r = -\frac{2\Pi_r h_r^3 v_d}{(1+M_r^2)^2 - 4\Pi_r^2} \left[\frac{2(1+M_r^2)}{(1+M_r^2)^2 - 4\Pi_r^2} + 1\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

B. RGE's of the system with scalar condensate

The equation for the condensate takes now the form:

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{6}(u^2 - v^2) + 2\Pi\right) \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}} = 0. \tag{19}$$

It has a positive solution for u^2 under the condition $\lambda v^2 - 12\Pi > 0$. This inequality is automatically fulfilled for negative values of Π. Below everywhere $|\Pi|$ will be displayed.

The particle spectra is determined by the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2) = \left(q^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3}v^2 + 4|\Pi|\right)(q^2 + 4|\Pi|), \\
&m_{hb}^2 = \frac{\lambda}{3}v^2 + 4|\Pi| = \frac{\lambda}{3}u^2, \qquad m_G^2 = 4|\Pi|, \qquad m_\psi = h\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The pseudo-Goldstone mass is given by m_G, the mass of the heavy boson is denoted by m_{hb}. In the broken symmetry phase the parameter v^2 replaces M^2. Instead of λ, v^2, Π it is more convenient to solve the RG-equations of the masses, where one exploits the relation $\lambda v^2/3 = m_{hb}^2 - m_G^2$ which follows (19) and (20):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t m_\psi^2 = h^2 m_\psi^2 (m_{hb}^2 - m_G^2)\hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)} \frac{1}{q_R^2 + m_\psi^2}, \\
&\frac{3}{4}\partial_t m_G^2 = -2h^2 \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{q_R^2 + m_\psi^2} + \frac{\lambda}{6}\hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{4q_R^2 + m_{hb}^2 + m_G^2}{\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)}, \\
&\partial_t m_{hb}^2 = 2h^2 \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{-q_R^2 + m_\psi^2}{(q_R^2 + m_\psi^2)^2} \\
&+\frac{\lambda}{6}\hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)} \left[4q_R^2 + 7m_{hb}^2 + 3m_G^2 - \frac{m_{hb}^2}{\mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)} \left(4q_R^2 + m_{hb}^2 + m_G^2\right)^2\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

In order to have sufficient number of equations one makes use of the equation of h, expressing its rate



FIG. 1. A typical RG-evolution of $2|\Pi|$ (left) and M^2 (right) measured in units of Λ^2 for $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 = 0.01$

through the masses:

$$\partial_t h = -\frac{h^3}{2}(m_{hb}^2 - m_G^2)\hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{-q_R^2 + m_\psi^2}{(q_R^2 + m_\psi^2)^2 \mathrm{DET}_B(q_R^2)} + \frac{h^3 m_{hb}^2}{4}\hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{q_R^2 + m_\psi^2}\left[\frac{3}{(q_R^2 + m_{hb}^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(q_R^2 + m_G^2)^2}\right]. \tag{22}$$

The second term on the right hand side of the equation is the result of the Φ-dependence of the boson propagator and is present only in the broken symmetry phase. A set of RGE's can be derived for the dimensionless masses and couplings like in the symmetric phase with help of an extra relation concerning the action of $\hat{\partial}_t$:

$$\hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{((q_R^2 + m_{hb}^2)(q_R^2 + m_G^2))^n} = -2n v_d k^{d+2} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{DET}_B(k^2))^n}\left[\frac{1}{k^2 + m_{hb}^2} + \frac{1}{k^2 + m_G^2}\right]. \tag{23}$$

The explicit form of those equations does not provide more insight when discussing the RG-evolution in the broken symmetry phase, therefore the equations are not displayed here.

III. PHASE STRUCTURE

The phase structure of the system in $d = 4$ has been mapped out in the three-dimensional coupling space of the microspcopic paramters $(\lambda_\Lambda, M_{r\Lambda}^2, h_\Lambda)$ characterising the system at the UV-scale Λ. RG-evolutions starting from the symmetric phase were followed to $k = 0$, since it is natural to assume that the "microscopic" theory is in the symmetric phase. The RG-trajectory of the system was traced for various initial ratios $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2$, and our goal was to see to what extent the resulting physical picture depends on this ratio. In the exploratory RG-runs the Yukawa coupling h was kept constant, which was a fortunate choice, since this turned out to be increasingly good approximation with diminishing the ratio $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2$. In fact, in the symmetric phase the rate of variation of h is proportional to $\Pi(k)$ (see eq.(13)). This coupling turned out to control the borderline between the symmetric and the broken $U_A(1)$ symmetry phase of the model.

A typical run is displayed in Fig.1, for the starting ratio $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 = 0.01$. The initial data of this run is chosen to end up at $k = 0$ with $M_0^2/\Lambda^2 = 2|\Pi_0|/\Lambda^2$, e.g. in a point on the critical surface separating the symmetric and the spontaneously broken phases as one sees when overlaying the two curves in Fig.2. Although the small value of $|\Pi_\Lambda|/\Lambda^2$ drops by cca. 2%, but it is stabilized quickly and actually attracts the value of M_k^2/Λ^2 starting much higher and steeply decreasing by the term corresponding to the quadratic divergence of the conventional perturbation theory. This qualitative behavior is universal in the sense that it is independent of the initial $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2$ ratio.

It turns out that for every initial $(M_{r\Lambda}^2, \lambda_\Lambda)$ pair the trajectory stays in the symmetric phase when one chooses $0 < h_\Lambda < h_c(M_{r\Lambda}^2, \lambda_\Lambda)$. The critical surface $h_c(M_{r\Lambda}^2, \lambda_\Lambda)$ is displayed in Fig.3, where the surface is cut by the "physical" value of $h_{phys} = 173/246$, corresponding to the toy Higgs-top model. Since the physical world realizes the spontaneously broken phase, the allowed region of the parameter space is nearly triangular, where $h_{phys} > h_c(M_{r\Lambda}^2, \lambda_\Lambda)$.



FIG. 2. An RG-evolution of M^2 and $2|\Pi|$ ending on the critical surface for $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 = 0.01$



FIG. 3. The critical surface determined for the ratio $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 = 0.01$ (yellow). The region belonging to the broken symmetry phase lies above the critical surface, the plane corresponding to the fixed value of the Yukawa coupling is colored blue. Further decreasing the value of the relative strength of the explicit symmetry breaking causes essentially no change in the shape of the critical surface.

The variation of the surface (and also of the edge of the region belonging to the broken symmetry phase) observed for larger values of $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2$, becomes unobservably small when $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 << 0.01$ is chosen, the critical surface converges to a limiting shape essentailly coinciding with the surface displayed in the figure. Also it is important to note that the effect of the RG-running of h in the final values of either $M^2(k=0)/\Lambda^2$ or $2|\Pi(k=0)|/\Lambda^2$ shows up only in the fifth decimal place.

The universality class of the phase transition can be characterized by the power of the dependence of the difference $M^2(k=0)/2|\Pi(k=0)-1|$ on $h-h_c$, as approached from the symmetric phase. Since the lower mass in this phase has a squared mass $M^2-2|\Pi|$, this difference describes the infinite increase of the correlation length (the inverse mass) when the critical surface is approached. Its scaling was tested in several points of the critical surface and found to be linear, which corresponds to a critical exponent $\nu \approx 1/2$, coinciding with the mean-field value of the correlation length exponent of the Ising-model. This conclusion is in agreement with the fact, that the quadratic explicit symmetry breaking leaves for the full system a $Z(2)$-like symmetry intact (see Eq.(8)).

The order parameter and the excitation spectra in the broken symmetry phase, however, reflects the $U_A(1)$ symmetry. In particular, one finds a pseudo-Goldstone excitation in this phase. It is rather interesting that a sort of level crossing phenomenon is produced through the critical surface. The pseudo-Goldstone mode is continuously connected with the more massive mode of the symmetric phase, while the heavy mode of the broken symmetry phase develops from the critical excitation.



FIG. 4. Some lines of equal m_G^2/m_ψ^2 points projected on the $\lambda_\Lambda - M^2_{r\Lambda}$ plane

IV. SPECTRA OF THE BROKEN SYMMETRY PHASE

As a first step of the exploration of the spectra one can locate the starting $(\lambda_\Lambda, M^2_{r\Lambda})$ points wherefrom a fixed infrared m_G^2/m_ψ^2 ratio can be reached. In the allowed region ($h > h_c$), which has a triangular shape when looking at it almost parallel to the h-axis, these points form an almost linear curve in the $\lambda_\Lambda - M^2_{r\Lambda}$-plane as one can see from Fig.4. This figure is based on the RG-evolutions done with $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M^2_\Lambda = 0.01$, but its variation had not affected the qualitative features of the fixed mass-ratio curves. It is remarkable that the red-colored line which corresponds to the ratio when the pseudo-Goldstone is identified with the Higgs and the fermion with the mass of the top, passes extremely close to criticality. This circumstance explains that even in the broken symmetry phase the Yukawa-coupling is hardly changing. In this region the additional term $\sim m_{hb}^2$ of its rate of evolution is also rather small, see Eq.(22).

Next, one can investigate the ratio m_{hb}^2/m_G^2 along this line in order to see the existence of any bound for the heavy mass. The separate evolution of the two scalar masses in the broken symmetry phase displays a rather non-trivial evolution. Also the fermion ("top"-) mass displays a sort of "walking" evolution around the same value of $t = \ln(k/\Lambda)$ as can be seen from Fig.5.

The ratio m_{hb}^2/m_G^2 shows monotonic increase with decreasing $M^2_{r\Lambda}$ (or equivalently, increasing λ_Λ). Fig.6 clearly shows that there is an upper bound at the present starting $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M^2_\Lambda$ ratio.

The interesting question is to see how this upper bound modifies when the strength of the explicit symmetry breaking is systematically diminished. Since the form of the effective action is unchanged one has for the mass ratios (see Eq.(20)):

$$\frac{m_G^2}{m_\psi^2} = \frac{8|\Pi(k=0)|}{h^2 u^2(k=0)}, \qquad \frac{m_G^2}{m_{hb}^2} = \frac{12|\Pi(k=0)|}{\lambda(k=0)u^2(k=0)} = \frac{4}{3\lambda(k=0)}\frac{m_G^2}{m_\psi^2}. \tag{24}$$

The first ratio was fixed to a prescribed value, the variation of the second simply reflects the variation of $\lambda(k=0)$ with the initial data. The obvious question is to see the dependence of $\lambda(k=0)$ on Π_Λ/M^2_Λ



FIG. 5. RG-evolution of the dimensionless squared fermion and boson masses (the PNGB is the lightest at $t = -\infty$). Note that the evolutions of the masses are displayed only in the broken symmetry phase (therefore m_{hb}^2 and m_ψ^2 start from zero).



FIG. 6. Monotonic variation of the heavy-light scalar mass ratio with $M_{r\Lambda}^2$ for $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 = .01$

when its evolution starts with an arbitrary λ_Λ.

In Fig.7 this variation is displayed for $\lambda_\Lambda = 0.5, 0.8, 0.94002, 1.0, 1.4$. The first thing to observe is a definite dependence with diminishing Π_Λ/M_Λ^2 in the range $10^{-3} - 10^{-7}$. This is rather different from the case of the linear explicit symmetry breaking ($\sim H(\Phi + \Phi^*)$), where λ does not depend on the strength H of the explicit breaking. Actually, there is a specific λ_Λ value equal to $\lambda_s = 0.94002$, where $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2$ does not have any effect on m_G^2/m_{hb}^2. It appears on the figure that the mass ratios arising in the region $\lambda_\Lambda > \lambda_s$ are increasing, while those falling into region $\lambda_\Lambda < \lambda_s$ decrease when the ratio $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2$ is diminished. The best fit is of the form $a + b(|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2)^c$. The values $b = 0.3103, c = 0.0268$ were fitted, while a was fixed to the mass-ratio belonging to $\lambda_\Lambda = \lambda_s = 0.94002$: $m_G^2/m_{hb}^2 \to 0.4340$. These infrared parameters vary with values of m_G^2/m_ψ^2 and of h as will be discussed shortly on an other numerical example below.

For $\lambda_\Lambda = \lambda_s$ it turns out that all couplings and masses evolve along the same RG-trajectories, irrespective the starting $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2$ ratio. Just for a smaller starting value the evolution in the symmetric phase becomes longer in t. The length of the t-interval needed for the buildup of the broken symmetry characteristics is always the same. There is a mapping from the "RG-time" t on the values of $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2$. This observation is the key for the RG-flow interpretation of the apparent focusing of $\lambda(k = 0)$ and with it of the mass ratio m_{hb}^2/m_G^2 on a unique finite value, when the quadratic explicit symmetry breaking



FIG. 7. Variation of m_G^2/m_{hb}^2 with Π_Λ/M_Λ^2 for various λ_Λ values



FIG. 8. Variation of m_G^2/m_{hb}^2 with Π_Λ/M_Λ^2 in case of parameters suggested by strong interactions

tends to zero.

The generic nature of the solution described above is confirmed also when one works out another parametrisation suggested by the pion-sigma-constituent quark system of strong interactions. Then one has $u \approx 100$MeV, $m_q \approx 300$MeV and $m_\pi \approx 140$MeV. The convergence to a unique limiting m_π^2/m_σ^2 value is much faster in this case as can be seen in Fig.8. This example is characterised by the couplings $\lambda_s \approx 17, h = 3$, clearly much beyond the perturbative regime. A very good power-law fit is displayed which was determined to the data: $\lambda_\Lambda = 8, |\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 = 0.01$. The best fit parameters are: $a = 0.5307, b = 0.0308, c = 0.8318$. The value of the exponent c is very different from that obtained in the previous numerical example. Its compatibility with universality is far from obvious.

At this point of the discussion one should retain that in case of the quadratic explicit symmetry breaking there is an alternative to the conventional picture of spontaneous symmetry breakdown in the limit of vanishing explicit breaking where one keeps the pseudo-Goldstone excitation massive (its ratio to the fermion mass is kept fixed and finite). According to this solution the mass of its heavy boson partner is uniquely predicted. In the next section it is shown that for any choice of the initial λ_Λ the RG-flow unavoidably approaches the renormalized trajectory starting from a UV fixed point λ_{UV}^* to which λ_s converges when $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 \to 0$.

V. RG-FLOW IN THE LIMITING CASE $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M_\Lambda^2 \to 0$

It can be very strange, even counterintuitive that, despite we decrease the strength of the explicit breaking, the system does not approach teh spectrum expected in the phases of the fully symmetric theory. In this section the reason for this unexpected behaviour is revealed.

Let us start with an analogy that helps the understand this phenomenon. In pure Yang-Mills theories the only parameter that characterizes the system is the coupling constant g. It is very natural to think that a system with large coupling is strongly interacting, while small coupling means weak interaction, and in the zero coupling limit the system becomes free. Still, this expectation does not work in Yang-Mills theories. If the coupling is strictly zero there is no interaction, of course. But for an arbitrarily small positive UV-value of the coupling, all choices describe the same physics in the IR limit! The reason is that the coupling g actually depends on the scale, that is $g = g(k)$, and in the UV limit the coupling goes to zero $g(k \to \infty) = 0$ (asymptotic freedom) – or stated another way, the system possesses an UV fixed point at $g = 0$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the value of the coupling and the scale. This means, however, that giving the value of the coupling only tells us the scale, where we are actually looking at the system (this phenomenon is called dimensional transmutation), and has nothing to do with the strength of the interaction in the infrared.

Basically the same dimensional transmutation occurs here in our model, with some important modifications. We have four couplings, $\lambda(k)$, $h(k)$, $\Pi(k)$ and $M^2(k)$. Their scale dependence in the symmetric phase is described by eq. (18). Inspecting these equations we find that there is in the system an (interacting) UV fixed point. Assuming $M_r^2 \ll 1$ (this can be verified a posteriori) we arrive at the formulae

$$\frac{\lambda_{UV}^{*2}}{h_{UV}^4} \approx \frac{18}{5}, \qquad \frac{M_{r,UV}^{*2}}{h_{UV}^2} \approx 2v_d\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}\right), \qquad \Pi_{r,UV}^* = 0, \tag{25}$$

while for the value of h_{UV} we do not have any restriction, except that it still should be larger than $h_c(M_{r\Lambda}^2, \lambda_\Lambda)$. This means that in fact we have a fixed line, not just a point.

In the $\lambda - M_r^2$ space we can map out the flow diagram shown in Fig. 9. In this figure we restricted



FIG. 9. Flow diagram in the $\lambda - M_r^2$ space, restricting to those initial values that lead to SSB. We can recover the UV fixed point at the analytically determined λ_{UV}^* and M_{rUV}^{*2} position (red diamond). The arrows point in the direction of increasing the RG-time t. The apparent merging of the RG flows along the UV-unstable direction is fake, it is just the consequence of the finite resolution of the picture.

ourselves to those UV values that lead to SSB in the IR. We can recover the UV fixed point at some definite λ^* and M_r^{*2} position, and we see that the fixed point coordinates coincide rather well with the estimate (25) for the chosen value of h. If we are on a RG trajectory where $\lambda > \lambda^*_{UV}$, then $\lambda(k)$ will run into a Landau pole at some $k = \Lambda_L$ scale, where $M_r^2 \to -\infty$: this is the upper part of this figure. On the other hand if we have $\lambda < \lambda^*_{UV}$ at a given scale, $\lambda(k)$ will cross zero at some $k = \Lambda_0$ value (vacuum stability bound), where $M_r^2(k)$ remains finite. We remark that although it seems from this figure that the RG trajectories merge, but it is just due to the finite resolution: two RG trajectories must never merge or cross each other.

The RG flow of Π_r is very dull: it goes to $\Pi_r = 0$ with an exponent very close to -2, ie. $\Pi_r(k) = C/k^2$ for large k values. But this also means that near the UV fixed point Π/M^2 scales as $\sim 1/k^2$. So, similarly to the QCD case, the quantity Π/M^2 has nothing to do with the strength of the explicit breaking, but tells us the scale, at which we look at the system.

In the above limiting process towards the vanishing of the explicit symmetry breaking we keep λ_Λ constant, while decreasing the value of $|\Pi_\Lambda|/M^2_\Lambda$. As we have seen, $|\Pi|/M^2 \to 0$ implies increasing scale $k \to \infty$, while we keep the coupling λ_Λ fixed upon this process. But, as we also analyzed, at large scales a generic λ blows up or crosses zero, so the fact alone that at a large scale k it is forced to assume a finite positive value, means that the RG-trajectory on which it actually lies is closer and closer to the renormalized trajectory. Therefore, the corresponding IR value $\lambda(k = 0)$ will converge to the one evolving along the renormalized trajectory from the fixed point value λ^*_{UV}.

This qualitative analysis can be followed more directly in Fig.10, where we map the renormalization trajectories in the $\lambda - \log(M^2/|\Pi|)$ plane. In this figure the UV fixed point is shifted to infinity. One easily recognizes that by increasing $M^2_\Lambda/|\Pi_\Lambda|$ with λ_Λ kept fixed, singles out RG trajectories closer and closer to the renormalized trajectory originating from the point $(\lambda^*_{UV}, \log(M^{*2}_{UV}/|\Pi^*_{UV}|) = \infty)$.



FIG. 10. RG-running with decreasing t in the λ - $\log(M^2/|\Pi|)$ plane and in the symmetric phase. The position of the surface of spontaneous symmetry breaking is now pushed to $-\infty$ along the x-axis. The dashed line indicates that the solution at a fixed value of λ_Λ while approaching $\Pi = 0$ forces the system to run on trajectories closer and closer to the trajectory originating from $(\lambda^*_{UV}, M^{*2}_{rUV}.)$

For a quantitative analysis we will assume that the above process is governed by a single UV fixed point. We introduce the usual fixed point scaling laws:

$$\delta\lambda = \lambda - \lambda^*_{UV} = (C_1 k)^{2\zeta}, \qquad \delta M^2 = M_r^2 - M^{*2}_{rUV} = (C_2 k)^{-\nu'}. \tag{26}$$

The powers ζ, ν' can be found by linearizing the RGE's (18) around the fixed point (25). Expanding the

eigenvalues to the same order in the small quantity $\lambda^*_{UV} v_d/(1+M^{*2}_{rUV})^3$, the expressions for the scaling powers are the following:

$$2\zeta \approx \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}h^2, \qquad \nu' \approx 2 - \frac{2}{5}\zeta. \tag{27}$$

We introduce

$$\rho = \frac{M_r^2}{\Pi_r}. \tag{28}$$

Near the fixed point, at large values of ρ we find

$$\rho \approx \frac{M_r^{*2}}{C/k^2} \sim k^2. \tag{29}$$

Therefore near the UV fixed point we can write

$$\delta\lambda(k) = C\rho^{\zeta}(k). \tag{30}$$

For smaller values of ρ we extend its functional form as

$$\delta\lambda(k) = C(\rho(k)+\rho_0)^{\zeta(k)}. \tag{31}$$

In the IR limit ρ runs into a constant (actually a negative value, due to SSB), and $\delta\lambda$ also reaches a constant value. Therefore we have

$$\delta\lambda_{IR} = \delta\lambda_{UV}\frac{(\rho_{IR}+\rho_0)^{\zeta_0}}{(\rho_{UV}+\rho_0)^{\zeta}}. \tag{32}$$

This means that for large values of ρ_{UV}

$$\lambda_{IR} = \lambda^*_{IR} + \kappa\rho_{UV}^{-\zeta}. \tag{33}$$

This suggests a power-law approach to the limiting infrared value as was found in the numerical examples of the previous section. The above approximate expression for ζ leads to $\zeta(h = 173/246) = 0.0198$, which agrees satisfactorily with the numerically found value of the power for this case. For the second case ($h = 3$), which lies beyond the perturbative regime the agreement is worse, but the increasing tendency with h^2 is confirmed.

VI. SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS AND EXTENSIONS

In this paper we have argued on the basis of non-perturbative Renormalisation Group Equations that a $U_L(1) \times U_R(1)$ symmetric Yukawa theory with quadratic explicit symmetry breaking term has an UV-fixed line in the symmetric phase, characterised by vanishing strength of the explicit symmetry breaking. It is the value of the Yukawa-coupling which parametrizes the line of fixed points in the three-dimensional $(\lambda, h, M_\Lambda^2)$ coupling space.

This conclusion was reached with RGE's solved in the Local Potential Approximation (LPA) and with a quartic potential. The part of the RG-flow was mapped out which crosses the critical surface, bordering the phase of broken $U_A(1)$ symmetry. The renormalised trajectory for some fixed value of h is characterised in the symmetric phase by a constant $\lambda = \lambda_s$. Above this flow-line the trajectories arrive from the neighbourhood of Landau-poles, restricting the range of allowed momenta. Below the renormalised trajectory the physically allowed portion of the trajectories is restricted by the stability requirement $\lambda > 0$.

When one approaches the unique fixed point of the quadratic symmetry breaking ($\Pi^*_r = 0$), in the broken symmetry phase this increasingly symmetric "microscopic" UV-theory is able to sustain stable fermion–to–boson and boson–to–boson mass ratios not enforcing in the limiting case the validity of Goldstone's theorem.

The key points needed for the establishment of such a fixed line in a certain theory are the following:

- The RG-evolution of the explicit quadratic symmetry breaking parameter Π is driven by itself in the symmetric phase, resulting from a convenient cancellation among the contributions from the bosons as well, as a complete cancellation of the fermionic contribution.
- The rate of the RG-evolution of the Yukawa-coupling h is also proportional to the explicit symmetry breaking parameter Π, resulting from a convenient cancellation among the bosonic contributions.
- The existence of the interacting fixed point $(\lambda^*_{UV}, M^*_{rUV})$ is made possible by the compensation of the bosonic contributions (proportional to some power of the self-coupling λ) through the fermionic contribution (proportional to the non-running Yukawa coupling). It is a non-trivial requirement to find this fixed point in the physically allowed range.

In order to demonstrate the generic nature of the construction leading to such a UV fixed line, we shortly demonstrate its existence in a well-known model with larger symmetry group. Let consider the $U_L(2) \times U_R(2)$ symmetric chiral "quark-meson" theory. The bosonic fields of the model are written in a 2×2 matrix with complex elements, and transform as follows:

$$U = \sigma + i\eta + (s_a + i\pi_a)\tau^a, \qquad U \to U_L U U_R^\dagger, \quad U_L \in SU(2)_L, \quad U_R \in SU(2)_R \tag{34}$$

The symmetric part of the action is the following

$$\Gamma_{SYM} = \int_x \left[\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr} \partial_\alpha U^\dagger \partial_\alpha U + \bar{\psi} \partial\!\!\!/ \psi + V(\rho) + h(\bar{\psi}_L U \psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R U^\dagger \psi_L) \right], \tag{35}$$

with $\rho = (1/4)\mathrm{Tr} U^\dagger U$. The fermion field $\psi_{L/R}$ represents an $SU_{L/R}(2)$ doublet. The quadratic explicit symmetry breaking is chosen in the following specific form, closed upon the RG-evolution:

$$\Gamma_{DSB} = \int_x \bar{\Pi} (\mathrm{Tr} U^2 + \mathrm{Tr}(U^\dagger)^2). \tag{36}$$

Assuming a nonzero background for the σ-field one quickly finds the expression for $\Gamma^{(2)}$ and one proceeds to the straightforward derivation of the RGE's, noting the fact that in a pure σ-background with the above explicit symmetry breaking $G_\pi = G_\eta$ in both phases and $G_s = G_\sigma$ in the symmetric phase. The RGE's for the σ and π fields in the symmetric phase ($V' = M^2, V'' = \lambda/3$) are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (M^2 + \bar{\Pi}) &= \frac{\lambda}{3} \hat{\partial}_t \int_q (3G_\sigma + 2G_\pi) - 8h^2 \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{q_R^2}, \\ \partial_t (M^2 - \bar{\Pi}) &= \frac{\lambda}{3} \hat{\partial}_t \int_q (2G_\sigma + 3G_\pi) - 8h^2 \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{q_R^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t M^2 &= \frac{5\lambda}{6} \hat{\partial}_t \int_q (G_\sigma + G_\pi) - 8h^2 \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{q_R^2}, \\ \partial_t \bar{\Pi} &= \frac{\lambda}{6} \hat{\partial}_t \int_q (G_\sigma - G_\pi) = -\frac{\lambda \bar{\Pi}}{3} \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{(q_R^2 + M^2)^2 - \bar{\Pi}^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Clearly, the explicit symmetry breaking parameter is driven by itself and it has the fixed point $\bar{\Pi}^* = 0$.

In the symmetric phase only fermions contribute to the RGE of the Yukawa-coupling:

$$\partial_t h = -\frac{h^3}{3} \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{q_R^2} [G_\sigma - G_\eta + 3(G_S - G_\pi)] = -\frac{4}{3} h^3 \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{q_R^2} (G_\sigma - G_\pi), \tag{39}$$

which is proportional to $\bar{\Pi}$ by the difference $G_\sigma - G_\pi$ as was the case of the RGE (22) (compare with the first two points of the general scheme!). Therefore any chosen value of h might represent a fixed point solution of M_r^2 and λ. The RGE of λ is structurally the same as was the case of the $U(1)$ symmetric theory:

$$\partial_t \lambda = 48h^4 \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \frac{1}{q_R^4} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \hat{\partial}_t \int_q \left(G_s^2 + \frac{4}{3} G_\pi^2 + 3G_\sigma^2 \right). \tag{40}$$

Analysing the RGE's one quickly finds the following approximate fixed point equations for any chosen value of h^2 valid in the ultraviolet under the condition of the negligibility of M_r^2 on the right hand side of the RGE's:

$$\Pi^*_{UV} = 0, \qquad \frac{8}{3}\lambda^{*2}_{UV} = 48h^4, \qquad 2M^{*2}_{rUV} = 2v_d\left(8h^2 - \frac{5}{3}\lambda^*_{UV}\right), \tag{41}$$

having the solution

$$\Pi^*_{UV} = 0, \qquad \lambda^*_{UV} = \sqrt{18}h^2, \qquad M^{*2}_{rUV} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2}(8 - 5\sqrt{2})h^2. \tag{42}$$

This solution is physical, since the bracket in the expression of M^{*2}_{rUV} is positive. It is also compatible with the condition $M^{*2}_{rUV} << 1$ and the RG-flow should be rather similar in its neigbhbourhood to that described in detail for the $U(1)$ case. The particle spectra in the broken symmetry phase is far from the phenomenologically interesting case of strong interactions, therefore the interest of the quadratic explicit symmetry breaking is doubtful for the phenomenology of any realistic two-flavor quark-meson theory.

The generality of the constructional requirements makes it clear that including higher (perturbatively irrelevant) powers of the invariant ρ into the potential $V(\rho)$ will not influence the result of the analysis, since the strengths of the higher powers do not appear in the RGE of M_r^2 and λ, at least in the perturbative regime. Also the influence of the anomalous dimensions (the so-called LPA') has no effect on the existence of the UV fixed point, though it might shift its location into the unphysical regime (in the bracket of the expression of M^{*2}_{rUV} the difference of two $\mathcal{O}(10)$ quantities results in a small positive number, which could change into negative).

The possible significance of this new class of theories, possessing a UV fixed line and having a fully massive solution even in the limit of vanishing explicit symmetry breaking, for the ultraviolet completion of quantum field theories of phenomenological relevance should be investigated in the future systematically.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by the Hungarian Research Fund under the contract K104292.

[1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122** (1961) 345
[2] D.B. Kaplan and H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. **136**B (1984) 183
[3] K. Holland and J. Kuti, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **129-130**, 765 (2004)
[4] K. Holland, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **140**, 155 (2005).
[5] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi and C. Schroeder, PoS LAT2007, 056 (2007)
[6] P. Gerhold and K. Jansen, JHEP **0907**,025 (2009),
[7] P. Gerhold and K. Jansen, JHEP**1004**, 094 (2010)
[8] H. Gies, C. Gneiting and R. Sondenheimer, Phys. Rev. D**89**, 045012 (2014)
[9] D.Y.-J. Chu, K. Jansen, B. Knippschild, C.-J. D. Lin and A. Nagy, Phys. Lett. B**744** (2015) 146
[10] A. Jakovác, I. Kaposvári and A. Patkós, Mod. Phy. Lett. A**32** (2017) 175001
[11] J. Borchardt, H. Gies and R. Sondenheimer, Eur. Phys. J. C**76** (2016) 472
[12] T. Brauner and J. Hosek, Phys. Rev. D**72** (2005) 045007
[13] P. Benes, T. Brauner and J. Hosek, Phys. Rev. D**75** (2007) 056003
[14] U. Vogl and W. Weise, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics **27** (1991) pp. 195-272
[15] Stratonovich, R.L. Soviet Physics Doklady. **2**(1958) 416,
[16] J. Hubbard, J. Phys. Rev. Lett. **3** (1959) 77.
[17] W.A. Bardeen, C.T. Hill and M. Lindner, Phys. Rev. D**41** (1990) 1647
[18] C. Jungnickel and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. D**53** (1996) 5142
[19] H. Gies and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. D**65** (2002) 065001
[20] V.A. Miransky, M. Tanabashi and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Lett. B**221** (1989) 177
[21] V.A. Miransky, M. Tanabashi and K. Yamawaki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**4** (1989) 1043
[22] C. Frogatt and H.B.Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B**147** (1979) 277
[23] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B**352**, 529 (1991)
[24] C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B**301**, 90 (1993)
[25] T. Morris, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**9**, 2411 (1994)

[26] S. Weinberg, in: General Relativity: an Einstein Centenary Survey. eds. S.W. Hawking and W. Israel, University Press, pp. 790-831 (1979)
[27] D.F. Litim and F. Sannino, JHEP **1412** (2014) 178
[28] F. Bazzocchi, M. Fabbrichesi, R. Percacci, A. Tonero and L. Vecchi, Phys. Lett. B**705** (2011) 388
[29] H. Gies, S. Rechenberger and M.M. Scherer, Acta Phys. Polo B Proc. Suppl **2** (2009) 541
[30] H. Gies, S. Rechenberger, M.M. Scherer, Eur. Phys. J. C**66** (2010) 403
[31] J. Braun, H. Gies and D.D. Scherer, Phys. Rev. D**83** (2011) 085012
[32] H. Gies, S. Rechenberger, M.M. Scherer and L. Zambelli, Eur. Phys. J. C**73** (2013) 2652
[33] A. Jakovác, A. Patkós and P. Pósfay, Eur. J C**75** (2015) 2
[34] D. Litim, Phys. Rev. D**64**, 105004 (2001)
[35] A. Jakovác and A. Patkós, Phys. Rev. D**88**, 065008 (2013)
[36] K.-I. Aoki, K.I. Morikawa, J.I. Sumi, H. Terao and M.Tomoyose, Prog. Theor. Phys. 97 (1997) 479